

# Random Fields: Skorohod integral and Malliavin derivative

Giulia Di Nunno<sup>1</sup>

Oslo, 15th November 2004.

## Abstract

We consider a general version of the Skorohod integral and of the Malliavin derivative with respect to the Lévy stochastic measures on general topological spaces. The integral operator is introduced as a limit of appropriate simple integrals in line with the classical integration schemes and it appears as a generalization of the original Skorohod integral. Moreover a direct differentiation formula is given for the derivative of the polynomials with respect to the Gaussian and the Poisson type stochastic measures.

Let  $\mu(dx)$ ,  $x \in X$ , be a stochastic measure with independent values on a general separable topological space  $X$  with a tight  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure  $\mathcal{M}(dx)$  having *no atoms*. Among these measures we consider the *Lévy stochastic measures*  $\mu(dx)$ ,  $x \in X$ , which are characterized by the *Lévy-Khintchine infinitely divisible law* in the form:

$$(1) \quad \log Ee^{iu\mu(\Delta)} = \mathcal{M}(\Delta) \left\{ -\frac{u^2\sigma^2}{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{iur} - 1 - iur)\mathcal{N}(dr) \right\}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R},$$

for the values  $\mu(\Delta)$  on the Borel sets  $\Delta \subseteq X$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(\Delta) < \infty$ . In the law above  $\sigma^2 \geq 0$  is a constant and  $\mathcal{N}(dr)$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , is a  $\sigma$ -finite Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

The Skorohod integral and the Malliavin derivative are well-known with respect to the *Wiener stochastic measure* defined via the increments of the Wiener process. This measure would correspond to the case where  $X = [0, \infty)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(dr) \equiv 0$  in (1). We refer to [8]. See also [9] and [11], for example.

The goal of this paper is to introduce an *integral operator* and its adjoint, the *derivative operator*, with respect to a general Lévy stochastic measure such that the integral is constructed as limit of appropriate simple integrals and appears as a generalization of the Skorohod integral, while its adjoint appears as a generalization of the Malliavin derivative.

---

<sup>1</sup>Centre of Mathematics for Applications (CMA) and Department of Mathematics. University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1053 Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway.

E-mail address: giulian@math.uio.no.

*Key words:* stochastic measure with independent values, Levy-Khintchine law, Malliavin derivative, Skorohod integral.

*AMS (2000) Classification:* 60H07.

We consider the following scheme of integration. Let  $L_2(\Omega)$  be the Hilbert space of real random variables  $\xi := \xi(\omega)$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , with the norm  $\|\xi\| = (E|\xi|^2)^{1/2}$ , and let  $H \subseteq L_2(\Omega)$  be the closure in  $L_2(\Omega)$  of all the polynomials

$$(2) \quad \xi = F(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \xi_j^k} F(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) = 0, \quad k \neq 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m),$$

of the values  $\xi_j := \mu(\Delta_j)$  of the stochastic measure  $\mu(dx)$ ,  $x \in X$ , on the *disjoint* Borel sets  $\Delta_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . In other words the above polynomials are *multilinear forms* of the variables  $\xi_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Let  $L_2(\Omega \times X)$  be the standard space of real stochastic functions  $\varphi := \varphi(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ , in  $L_2(\Omega)$  with the norm  $\|\varphi\|_{L_2} = \left( \int_X \|\varphi(x)\|^2 \mathcal{M}(dx) \right)^{1/2}$ , and let  $L_2(X, H) \subseteq L_2(\Omega \times X)$  be the subspace of all the stochastic functions taking values in the subspace  $H \subseteq L_2(\Omega)$ . Here we focus, in particular, on the linear class  $L \subseteq L_2(X, H)$  of *simple functions* admitting representation

$$(3) \quad \varphi := \sum_{\Delta \subseteq X} \varphi_\Delta 1_\Delta(x), \quad x \in X,$$

via some appropriate *disjoint* sets  $\Delta \subseteq X$ . For all  $\Delta$ , the value  $\varphi_\Delta$ , taken on the corresponding  $\Delta$ , is a random variable measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Delta[}$  which is generated by the values of the stochastic measure  $\mu(dx)$ ,  $x \in X$ , on all the subsets of the complement  $\Delta[$  to  $\Delta$  in  $X$ , i.e.  $\Delta[ := X \setminus \Delta$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The closable linear operator  $I : L_2(X, H) \supseteq L \ni \varphi \implies I\varphi \in H$ , is well defined on the simple functions of the type (3) as  $I\varphi := \sum_{\Delta \subseteq X} \varphi_\Delta \mu(\Delta)$ . Its minimal closed linear extension*

$$(4) \quad I : L_2(X, H) \supseteq \text{dom} I \ni \varphi \implies I\varphi \in H$$

*defines the stochastic integral  $I\varphi := \int_X \varphi(x) \mu(dx)$ . All the elements  $\xi \in H$  can be represented as  $\xi = I\varphi$  by means of the corresponding integrands  $\varphi$  in the domain  $\text{dom} I$  of the operator (4) which is dense in  $L_2(X, H)$ .*

*For the ajoint (closed) linear operator, which we call stochastic derivative,*

$$(5) \quad D = I^* : H \supseteq \text{dom} D \ni \xi \implies D\xi \in L_2(X, H),$$

*the duality relationship*

$$(6) \quad I = D^*, \quad D = I^*$$

*holds true.*

*Proof.* For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $\Delta_{nk}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K_n$ , be some *disjoint* sets in  $X$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_k \mathcal{M}(\Delta_{nk}) = 0$ , constituting the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -series in an array ( $n = 1, \dots$ ). The elements of each series are partition sets of all the sets of all the preceeding series and the whole family of the sets of

all the series constitute a semi-ring generating the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $X = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} \Delta_{nk}$ . Let us consider, for any particular  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  and any choice of *different* elements  $\Delta_{nk_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , in the *same*  $n^{th}$ -series, the corresponding  $m$ -order *multilinear form*, i.e.

$$\xi := \prod_{j=1}^m \mu(\Delta_{nk_j})$$

- cf. (2). These multilinear forms are *orthogonal* in  $L_2(\Omega)$ , see [4]. Let  $H^{n,m}$  be the subspace in  $L_2(\Omega)$  with the orthogonal basis of all the above multilinear forms. And let  $L_2^{n,m-1}$  be the subspace in the functional space  $L_2(X, H)$  of the simple functions which admit representation (3) via the sets  $\Delta = \Delta_{nk_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , belonging to the same  $n^{th}$ -series above. The corresponding values  $\varphi_\Delta$  belong to  $H^{n,m-1}$ . Here we set  $H^{n,0}$  to be the subspace of all *constants* in  $L_2(\Omega)$ .

The linear operator  $D = I^*: H^{n,m} \ni \xi \implies D\xi \in L_2^{n,m-1}$ , takes the values

$$D\left(\prod_{j=1}^m \mu(\Delta_{nk_j})\right) := \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\prod_{i \neq j} \mu(\Delta_{nk_i})\right) 1_{\Delta_{nk_j}},$$

on the elements of the orthogonal basis in  $H^{n,m}$  and it is such that  $\|D\xi\|_{L_2} = m^{1/2}\|\xi\|$ ,  $\xi \in H^{n,m}$ .

The simple functions of the form

$$\varphi := \varphi_\Delta 1_\Delta(x), \quad x \in X, \quad \text{with} \quad \varphi_\Delta := \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \mu(\Delta_{nk_j}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta := \Delta_{nk_m},$$

constitute a basis in  $L_2^{n,m-1}$ . The orthogonal projection of these functions on the range of the operator  $D$ , i.e.  $DH^{n,m} \subseteq L_2^{n,m-1}$ , is given by  $\hat{\varphi} := m^{-1}D\left(\prod_{j=1}^m \mu(\Delta_{nk_j})\right)$ . The *adjoint* operator  $D^*$  gives

$$D^*\hat{\varphi} = \prod_{j=1}^m \mu(\Delta_{nk_j}) = \varphi_\Delta \mu(\Delta),$$

since the operator  $m^{-1/2}D$  is *isometric*. Recall that  $I(\varphi_\Delta 1_\Delta) = \varphi_\Delta \mu(\Delta)$  holds and moreover note that the operator  $I$  is null on the orthogonal complement to  $DH^{n,m}$  in  $L_2^{n,m-1}$ . In fact it is  $I(\varphi - \hat{\varphi}) = I\varphi - I\hat{\varphi} = 0$ . Thus we have  $D^*\varphi = I\varphi$ , for all the elements  $\varphi$  of the orthogonal basis in  $L_2^{n,m-1}$ . Exploiting the limits

$$H = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \oplus H^{n,m}, \quad L_2(X, H) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \oplus L_2^{n,m-1},$$

we can complete the proof.  $\square$

In the sequel we refer to the *Gaussian stochastic measure* as the measure  $\mu(dx)$  characterized by the law (1) with  $\mathcal{N}(dr) \equiv 0$  and we refer to the *stochastic measure of the Poisson*

type for  $\mu(dx)$  characterized by (1) with  $\sigma^2 = 0$  and  $\mathcal{N}(dr)$  concentrated in some single point  $\rho \neq 0$ . Recall that in the latter case it is  $\mu(\Delta) := \rho[\nu(\Delta) - E\nu(\Delta)]$  for the Poisson variables  $\nu(\Delta)$ ,  $\Delta \subseteq X$ .

**Theorem 2.** (i) The stochastic derivative  $D$  in (5) is the minimal closure of the closable linear operator well-defined on all the multilinear forms (2) by the differentiation formula

$$(7) \quad D\xi := \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} F(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) 1_{\Delta_j}(x), \quad x \in X.$$

(ii) The family of all the polynomials  $\xi$  of the values  $\mu(\Delta)$ ,  $\Delta \subseteq X$ , belongs to the domain of the Malliavin derivative if and only if the Lévy stochastic measure  $\mu(dx)$ ,  $x \in X$ , is either Gaussian or of the Poisson type.

(iii) In the latter case, the stochastic derivative of any polynomial  $\xi$ , given in the representation  $\xi = F(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$  via the values  $\xi_j = \mu(\Delta_j)$  on the disjoint sets  $\Delta_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , can be computed by the following differentiation formula

$$(8) \quad D\xi = \sum_{j=1}^m \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} F(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{\rho^{k-1}}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \xi_j^k} F(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \right] 1_{\Delta_j}(x), \quad x \in X.$$

(iv) This above formula (7) is also valid in the Gaussian case by setting  $\rho = 0$ .

*Proof.* For this we refer to [4]. Here, we would like only to note that the relationships

$$(9) \quad H = L_2(\Omega), \quad L_2(X, H) = L_2(\Omega \times X)$$

hold true *only* if and only if the Lévy stochastic measures involved are Gaussian or of the Poisson type.

**Remark.** The operator  $D$  in (5) represents the analogue of the *Malliavin derivative* and the operator  $I$  in (4) which is also  $I = D^*$  - cf. (6), represents the corresponding analogue of the *Skorohod integral* - cf. [13]. Thus we can call them *Malliavin derivative* and *Skorohod integral* correspondingly. Here we refer to e.g. [8], [9], [11]. We also stress that recently there has been a wide activity on the generalization of the Malliavin calculus to stochastic measures of the Lévy type such as measures derived from Poisson processes (i.e.  $X = [0, \infty)$  and  $\sigma = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(dx)$  concentrated in 1, in the law (1)), from pure jump Lévy processes (i.e.  $X = [0, \infty)$  and  $\sigma = 0$  in (1)), or from more general Lévy processes on  $X = [0, \infty)$ . Not being allowed to an exhaustive list, we might mention e.g. [1], [2], [3], [5], [6], [7], [10], [12] [14], and the references therein.

**Question.** For a general Lévy stochastic measure  $\mu(dx)$ ,  $x \in X$ , the Malliavin derivative of the basic multilinear forms (2) can be determined as the limit

$$(10) \quad D\xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} E \left( \xi \frac{\mu(\Delta_{nk})}{\|\mu(\Delta_{nk})\|^2} |\mathfrak{A}_{\Delta_{nk}}| \right) 1_{\Delta_{nk}}(x), \quad x \in X,$$

in  $L_2(X, H)$ , via the array of series of disjoint sets  $\Delta_{nk}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) - cf. [4]. And here an open question is whether this differentiation formula (10) holds true for all  $\xi \in \text{dom} D$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank professor Paul Malliavin for the inspiring discussions and his fruitful comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] F.E. Benth, G. Di Nunno, A. Løkka, B. Øksendal, F. Proske, Explicit representation of the minimal variance portfolio in markets driven by Lévy processes. *Math. Finance* 13 (2003), 54-72.
- [2] K. Bichteler, J.B. Gravereaux, J. Jacod, *Malliavin Calculus for Processes with Jumps*. Gordon and Breach Science Publisher, New York, 1987.
- [3] A. Dermoune, P. Kree, L. Wu, Calcul stochastique non adapté par rapport à la mesure aléatoire de Poisson. Séminaire de Probabilités XXII, *Lect. Notes. Math* 1321, 477–484, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [4] G. Di Nunno, On orthogonal polynomials and the Malliavin derivative for Lévy stochastic measures, Preprint in *Pure Mathematics University of Oslo*, 10, 2004.
- [5] G. Di Nunno, B. Øksendal, F. Proske, White noise analysis for Lévy processes. *Journal of Functional Analysis* 206 (2004), 109-148.
- [6] J.A. Léon, J.L. Solé, F. Utzet, J. Vives, On Lévy processes, Malliavin calculus and market models with jumps. *Finance Stoch.* 6 (2002), 197-225.
- [7] A. Løkka, Martingale representation and functionals of Lévy processes. Preprint series in *Pure Mathematics*, University of Oslo, 21, 2001.
- [8] P. Malliavin, *Stochastic Analysis*. Springer-Verlag, New York 1997.
- [9] D. Nualart, *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1995.
- [10] D. Nualart, W. Schoutens, Chaotic and predictable representations for Lévy processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 90 (2000), 109-122.
- [11] B. Øksendal, An introduction to Malliavin calculus with applications to economics. Working paper, No 3/96, Norwegian School of Economics and Business Administration, 1996.
- [12] J. Picard, On the existence of smooth densities for jump processes. *Prob. Th. Rel. Fields* 105, (1996), pp. 481-511.
- [13] A.V. Skorohod, On a generalization of the stochastic integral. *Theor. Probability Appl.* 20 (1975), 223–238.

- [14] A. Yablonski, The calculus of variation for processes with independent increments, Preprint in Pure Mathematics Universtiy of Oslo, 15, 2004.